

1 2

1

A cardinal invariant related to homogeneous families

Martin Goldstern Saharon Shelah

Feb 23, 1997

Definition 1 We call a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ independent if every boolean intersection

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}_0} A \cap \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{F}_1} (\omega \setminus B) \quad \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}, \text{ disjoint, finite}$$

is infinite.

We call $\mathcal{F}$ homogeneous iff: for every finite partial injective functions $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $g : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ that satisfies

$$\forall n \in \text{dom}(f) \forall A \in \text{dom}(g) : n \in A \Leftrightarrow f(n) \in g(A)$$

there is a permutation π of ω such that $\pi[A], \pi^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, π extends f and $\pi[A] = g(A)$ for all $A \in \text{dom}(g)$.

Explanation, motivation...

Definition 2 Let $\mathbf{ex} = \min\{|F| : F \text{ is an independent family on } \omega \text{ that cannot be extended to a homogeneous family.}$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{ex} \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ (see below). (This was also pointed out by Brendle).

We show here that in fact $\mathbf{ex} = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$. We will use the following characterisation of $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$:

Definition and Fact 3 • If $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$, and $g \in \omega^\omega$, we say that g “diagonalizes” $\mathcal{F}$ if $\forall f \in \mathcal{F} \exists^\infty n f(n) = g(n)$.

- By a theorem of Bartoszynski, $\kappa < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ iff every family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \omega^\omega$ of size κ can be diagonalized.

*The first author was supported by an Erwin Schroedinger fellowship from the Austrian Science Foundation.

†Publication E14.

- If there is no g which diagonalizes $\mathcal{F}$, $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ then we say that $\mathcal{F}$ “witnesses” $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) \leq \kappa$.

Definition 4 Define $\kappa < \mathbf{ex}^*$ iff: For any independent family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ of cardinality κ there is a permutation $\pi : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the set

$$\{n \in A : \pi(n) \in A \setminus \{n\}\}$$

is infinite.

We will show

- (1) $\mathbf{ex} \leq \mathbf{ex}^*$
 - (2) $\mathbf{ex}^* \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$
 - (3) $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) \leq \mathbf{ex}$
- (1) and (3) are easy.

Proof of (3)

It is enough to show that: For any $\mathcal{F}$ of cardinality $< \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, for any pair (f, g) as in definition 1 there is an independent family $\mathcal{F}' \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ and a permutation π of ω extending f such that $\pi[A] = g(A)$.

(We can then get a homogeneous family $\mathcal{F}^* \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ in $|\mathcal{F}|$ many steps, using a bookkeeping argument and taking care of a single demand (f, g) in each step.

Moreover, we may assume that f and g are in fact permutations (of certain finite subsets of ω and $\mathcal{F}$ respectively), and $\mathcal{F}$ is sufficiently saturated: For any finite disjoint sets $p, q \subseteq \omega$ there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $p \subseteq A \subseteq \omega \setminus q$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \setminus \text{dom}(g)$, $\hat{\omega} = \omega \setminus \text{dom}(f)$.

Note that the elements of $\text{dom}(g)$ are generators of a free Boolean algebra. Extend g to this algebra in a natural way ($g(A \cap B) = g(A) \cap g(B)$, etc), and let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ be the atoms of this Boolean algebra. g is a permutation of $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. We may assume that g acts on the indices: $g(A_k) = A_{g(k)}$.

For each k let $h_k : \omega \rightarrow A_k$ be an enumeration of $A_n \setminus \text{dom}(f)$.

Now for any permutation $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ define π_c as follows:

1. $\pi(i) = f(i)$ if $i \in \text{dom}(f)$
2. $\pi(i) = h_{g(k)}(\pi(h_k^{-1}(i)))$ if $i \in A_k \setminus \text{dom}(f)$.

Note that π_c is a permutation of ω , π extends f , and $\pi(A_k) = A_{g(k)} = g(A_k)$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_c := \{\pi_c^\ell[A] : A \in \mathcal{F}, \ell \in \omega\}$. It remains to prove that we can choose c such that $\mathcal{F}_c$ will be an independent family. This follows easily from $|\mathcal{F}| < \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof of (2)

Definition 5 Let $X = \omega \times \omega \times 2$.

Let $Y = \{\rho_m : m \in \omega\}$ be the set of all partial finite functions from X to ω . We say that $Y' \subseteq Y$ is dense in Y iff

$$\forall \rho \in Y \exists \rho' \in Y' : \rho \subseteq \rho'$$

Let $\kappa = \text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$, witnessed by a family $(f_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa)$.

We will construct an independent family $(A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa)$ by induction, satisfying the following condition:

$$(*)_\beta \quad \text{If } B \in \mathcal{B}(\beta), \text{ then } \{\rho_n : n \in B\} \text{ is dense in } Y$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\beta)$ is the collection of all finite boolean combinations of the family $(A_\alpha : \alpha < \beta)$.

Clearly $(*)_\beta$ is preserved in limit steps (i.e., $\forall \gamma < \beta (*)_\beta$ implies $(*)_\gamma$ whenever γ is a limit ordinal).

So let $\beta = \alpha + 1$. We have to define A_α such that $(*)_\beta$ holds.

To this end, consider the following forcing notion:

Definition 6 $P_\alpha := \{w \subseteq \omega, w \text{ finite, and: whenever } m, n \in w, m < n, \text{ then } \forall i \in \{0, 1\} \exists k : \rho_n(m, k, i) = \eta_\alpha(m, k, i)\}$.

P_α is partially ordered by end extension.

Claim 7 For any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, any $\rho \in Y$ the sets

$$D_{B, \rho} := \{w \in P_\alpha : \exists n \in w \cap B, \rho \subseteq \rho_n\}$$

and

$$D'_{B, \rho} := \{w \in P_\alpha : \exists n \in B \setminus w, \rho \subseteq \rho_n\}$$

are dense in P_α .

Proof of the claim: Fix B, ρ , and let $u \in P_\alpha$. Wlog $\min B > \max u$. For each $m \in u$ and each $i \in \{0, 1\}$ pick $k_{m, i}$ arbitrary such that $(m, k_{m, i}, i) \notin \text{dom}(\rho)$. Define a finite partial function ρ' on X by requiring $\rho'(m, k_{m, i}, i) = \eta_\alpha(m, k, i)$ for all $m \in u, i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Now find $n \in B$ such that ρ_n extends ρ' and check that $u \cup \{n\} \in P_\alpha$.

The density of D' is similar (easier).

Fact 8 Assume that $G \subseteq G_\alpha$ is sufficiently generic, i.e., G meets all the dense sets mentioned above. Then G defines a set A_α such that $(*)_{\alpha+1}$ holds. Moreover,

$$(**)_\alpha : \forall i \in \{0, 1\} \forall m < n : (m, n \in A) \Rightarrow \exists k \rho_n(m, k, i) = f_\alpha(m, k, i)$$

Conclusion 9 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. Then there is no permutation such that $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ the set $\{n \in A : \pi(n) \in A \setminus \{n\}\}$ is infinite.*

Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ witnesses $\mathbf{ex}^ \leq \kappa$.*

Proof: Assume that π is such a permutation. Define $f : X \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, k, 0) &= \rho_{\pi(m)}(m, k, 0) \\ f(m, k, 1) &= \rho_{\pi^{-1}(m)}(m, k, 1) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that now f diagonalizes $\mathcal{F}$, which yields the desired contradiction. Indeed, let $\alpha < \kappa$. One of the two sets

$$\{m \in A_\alpha : m < \pi(m) \in A_\alpha\} \quad \{n \in A_\alpha : n > \pi(n) \in A_\alpha\}$$

must be infinite.

Case 1: $\{m \in A_\alpha : m < \pi(m) \in A_\alpha\}$ is infinite. For each m in this set we can let $n := \pi(m)$, (so $m < n$) and use $(**)_{\alpha}$ to show that

$$\exists k \ f(m, k, 0) = \rho_n(m, k, 0) = f_\alpha(m, k, 0)$$

Case 2: $\{n \in A_\alpha : n > \pi(n) \in A_\alpha\}$ is infinite. Now for every n in this set we can let $m = \pi(n)$ (so again $m < n$) and again use $(**)_{\alpha}$ to show that

$$\exists k \ f(m, k, 1) = \rho_{\pi^{-1}(m)}(m, k, 1) = \rho_n(m, k, 1) = f_\alpha(m, k, 1)$$

Done.